

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,465	08/27/2003	Brian D.	Johnson	306812002201	7109	
25226 75	590 05/13/2004			EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD		•		CHO, JAMES HYONCHOL		
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1018		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			: ·	2819		
			• •	DATE MAILED, 05/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//}
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/650,465	JOHNSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	James Cho	2819	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears n the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
,		0) 50014	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	bruary 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	- ·	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
	20		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-17 and 19-22</u> is/are pending in t			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-17 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.	* • •		
7) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-17 and 19-22</u> is/are objected to.	•	* *	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			*
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are:		o by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			* •.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Y		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.	•	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No	•
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d	
\·			
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-20-03</u> .	6) Other:		355

Application/Control Number: 10/650,465

Art Unit: 2819

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Pre-Amendment filed February 17, 2004.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: --now U.S.

Patent No. 6,653,862,-- should be inserted after the wording, "10/140,911 filed on May

6, 2002," on line 2 in the paragraph [0001].

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-6, 8-17 and 19-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

"(currently amended):" on line 1 of claims 1, 3, 6, 8-12, 14, 17, and 19-22 appears to be --(currently amended).-- respectively;

"(original):" on line 1 of claims 2, 4-5, 13, and 15-16 appears to be --(original).-respectively; and

"claim [[18]]," on line 1 of claim 20 appears to be --claim [[18]] 17,--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,465

Art Unit: 2819

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-6, 8-17 and 19-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,653,862. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim recitations are merely reworded to recite the same limitation in different language and some of the limitations have been grouped in a slightly different manner but still overall set forth the same claim limitations, and/or because a broad claim is clearly obvious over a narrow claim.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McClintock et al. (US PAT No. 5,900,743) discloses a programmable logic array devices with interconnect lines of various lengths

Duong et al. (US PAT No. 5,656,950) discloses interconnect lines including tridirectional buffer circuits

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-1802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Tokar can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Cho Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819

James 10. Che

May 6, 2004